1	Douglas E. Lumish (SBN 183863) doug.lumish@lw.com	Rena Andoh randoh@sheppardmullin.com
2	Gabriel Gross (SBN 254672) gabe.gross@lw.com	Travis J. Anderson tanderson@sheppardmullin.com
3	Arman Zahoory (SBN 306421) arman.zahoory@lw.com	Kazim A. Naqvi knaqvi@sheppardmullin.com
4	Ryan T. Banks (SBN 318171) ryan.banks@lw.com	Tyler E. Baker tbaker@sheppardmullin.com
5	LÁTHAM & WATKINS LLP 140 Scott Drive	SHEPPÄRD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
6	Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 328-4600	30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112
7	Facsimile: (650) 463-2600 Attorneys for Defendant Skyryse, Inc.	Telephone: (212) 653-8700 Facsimile: (212) 655-1721
8	Thorneys for Defendant skyryse, me.	Attorneys for Plaintiff Moog Inc.
9	Anthony D. Green (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) Green.A@wssllp.com	
10	Alexander A. Truitt (<i>pro hac vice</i> pending) Truitt.A@wssllp.com	
11	WINGET, SPADAFORA & SCHWARTZBERG, LLP	
12	45 Broadway – 32 nd Floor New York, NY 10006	
13	Telephone: (212) 221-6900 Facsimile: (212) 221-6989	
14	Attorneys for Defendants Robert Alin	
15	Pilkington and Misook Kim	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	MOOG INC	
17 18	MOOG INC.,	Civil Action No. 2:22-cv-09094-GW-MAR
	MOOG INC., Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 2:22-cv-09094-GW-MAR
18		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
18 19	Plaintiff, v. SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT ALIN	
18 19 20	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT SKYRYSE TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT Complaint served: March 7, 2022
18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT ALIN PILKINGTON, MISOOK KIM, and	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT SKYRYSE TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT ALIN PILKINGTON, MISOOK KIM, and DOES NOS.1-50,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT SKYRYSE TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT Complaint served: March 7, 2022 Current response date: December 29, 2022
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT ALIN PILKINGTON, MISOOK KIM, and DOES NOS.1-50,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT SKYRYSE TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT Complaint served: March 7, 2022 Current response date: December 29, 2022
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT ALIN PILKINGTON, MISOOK KIM, and DOES NOS.1-50,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT SKYRYSE TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT Complaint served: March 7, 2022 Current response date: December 29, 2022
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT ALIN PILKINGTON, MISOOK KIM, and DOES NOS.1-50,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT SKYRYSE TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT Complaint served: March 7, 2022 Current response date: December 29, 2022

1 2 Plaintiff Moog Inc. and Defendants Skyryse, Inc., Robert Alin Pilkington, and Misook Kim hereby stipulate and agree to the following: 3 WHEREAS, Moog filed the Complaint in the above-captioned action on March 7, 2022 in 4 the United States District Court for the Western District of New York (Dkt. 1); 5 WHEREAS, when responsive pleadings were due on March 29, 2022, Defendants filed 6 7 motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction or improper venue or, alternatively, to transfer 8 venue to the Central District of California (Dkts. 47, 48); 9 WHEREAS, Defendants Robert Alin Pilkington and Misook Kim filed a motion to dismiss counts six, nine, and ten of the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be 10 granted on May 31, 2022 (Dkt. 131), and that motion remains pending; 11 WHEREAS, on December 15, 2022, the Honorable Lawrence J. Vilardo of the United 12 States District Court for the Western District of New York denied without prejudice Defendants' 13 motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction and improper venue and granted Defendants' 14 15 motions to transfer, and ordered that the case be transferred to this Court (Dkt. 297); WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4), Defendant Skyryse's 16 17 deadline to respond to the Complaint currently is December 29, 2022; and WHEREAS, in light of the upcoming holidays, the Parties have agreed to stipulate pursuant 18 to Local Rule 8-3 to a thirty (30) day extension of time for Skyryse to file its response to the 19 20 Complaint; NOW THEREFORE, subject to the Court's approval, the Parties stipulate and agree that 21 22 the deadline for Skyryse to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is January 30, 2023. 23 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 24 25 Dated: December 23, 2022 26 27 28

1 2		SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
3		
4		By: /s/ Kazim Naqvi Counsel for Plaintiff Moog Inc.
5		
6		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
7		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
8		By: /s/ Gabriel S. Gross
9		Counsel for Defendant Skyryse, Inc.
10		
11		WINGET, SPADAFORA &
12		SCHWARTZBERG, LLP
13		By: /s/Alexander Truitt (pro hac vice pending)
14		Counsel for Defendant Robert AlinPilkington
15		Robert Allin fixington
16		
17		WINGET, SPADAFORA & SCHWARTZBERG, LLP
18		•
19		By: /s/Alexander Truitt (pro hac vice pending)
20		Counsel for Defendant Misook Kim
21		
22	<u>ATTESTATION</u>	
23	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-4.3.4, I, Gabriel S. Gross, attest that concurrence in the	
24	filing of this document has been obtained by all its signatories.	
25	Dated: December 23, 2022 /s/ Gabriel S. Gross	
26		
27		
28		
A/A TI/I NI C		CASE NO 2 22 DODGA CWALLE